

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,847		03/30/2004	Byung-cheol Park	1572.1328	1572.1328 2330	
21171	7590	10/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				GEHMAN, BRYON P		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING		•		3728		
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
*	Advisory Action	10/811,847	PARK, BYUNG-CHEOL					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Bryon P. Gehman	3728					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE	REPLY FILED 21 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	etice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)				
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final scientian, wh	vichover is leter. In				
D)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
have under set fo may i	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) TICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since				
A B ACT	a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).					
	NDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
J. <u>C</u>	(a) \(\overline{			00000				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		Part Array describ	(DTOL 204)				
4. <u> </u>	<u>-</u>		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5.			timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
	non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•				
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
-	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consid	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attack	ned.				
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
		1						

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The combination of claims 1, 2 and 7 and 13, 14 and 18, as now presented in proposed amended claims 1 and 13, has not been considered heretofore in combination, as claims 2 and 7 and 14 and were independent of one another. The subject matter in combination of proposed amended claim 20 has also not been considered in combination. With respect to the disclosure of Na, the Figures 4 and 5 are relied on to support the relative positioning of the door handle as not protruding from the shock absorber, as such is not capable of occurring from elelment 102, as protrusion could not occur by the disposition of the shock absorbers adjacent to the content and the outer box. The assertion of protrusion is made considering the drawings of the patent, not any written disclosure.